ECCLESIA LAW

A WARNING OF SIGNIFICANT HARM TO THOSE THAT APPLY THE CLIVE EDWARDS - CAPILLARY WAVE IDEOLOGY

3RD March 2026

The contemporary administrative state operates through a sophisticated bifurcation of the human identity, a phenomenon that has prompted a significant rise in sovereign-adjacent movements across the United Kingdom and the broader Commonwealth jurisdictions. At the centre of this ideological shift is Clive Edwards and his platform, the Capillary Wave, which characterizes the modern citizen as an entity ensnared within a fraudulent legal paradigm. Edwards posits that the mechanisms of state control are rooted in the creation of a "legal fiction" or "Strawman," a construct designed to strip the living soul of its natural rights and subject it to a system of administrative mandates masquerading as law. While the Capillary Wave offers a profound moral and spiritual critique of this system, an exhaustive doctrinal analysis reveals fundamental structural flaws, most notably a total failure to account for the law of agency and capacity. This report examines the teachings of Clive Edwards, identifies the existential risks posed by his methods, and contrasts them with functional alternatives—specifically the Envoy Protocol, the Asset Fortress Protocol, and the Art of Legal Warfare—which seek to achieve operational sovereignty through capacity correction rather than mere moral repudiation.

The Ideological Framework of the Capillary Wave

The Capillary Wave ideology rests upon a binary view of governance that Edwards terms "The Paradigm". In this worldview, every individual exists in one of two positions: either as a "people under God" in the highest possible standing on earth, or as a "citizen" or "electorate" in the lowest possible position within a country. Edwards argues that the "United Kingdom" is not a tangible reality but a "body politic"—a legal fiction or corporate entity that exists only in the minds of those who believe in it. Conversely, he asserts that "Britain" is the physical landmass created by divine authority, and the "people" who reside upon it are subject only to "God's Law" as found in the Authorized King James Version (KJV) 1611 Bible. This bifurcation is presented not as a moral or spiritual distinction but as a functional jurisdictional reality that governs every interaction between the individual and the state.

Edwards' teachings emphasize the "ontological argument" that personhood is a legal fiction. He argues that the state distinguishes between the "living man" or "living woman" and the "statutory person" through linguistic and typographical markers. A primary claim within the Capillary Wave is that the use of all-capital

letters for a name signifies a "body corporate" or a "dead entity" (CAPITIS DIMINUTIO MAXIMA), which is legally distinct from the flesh-and-blood human being. This distinction is central to the movement's understanding of "joinder"—the process by which a living individual is allegedly tricked into accepting the liabilities of the artificial person. Edwards asserts that whenever an individual answers to a court summons addressed to "MR. JOHN DOE," or signs an application form in black ink using block capitals, they are voluntarily acting as the corporate entity, thereby granting the state jurisdiction over them.

Dimension	**The Legal Jurisdiction (State/Man)**	**The Lawful Jurisdiction (God/People)**
Entity Type	Body Politic / Corporation / Legal Fiction	Tangible Reality / Divine Creation
Subject of Law	Person / Citizen / Commoner / Ward	People / Man / Living Soul / Child of God
Supreme Authority	The Imperial Crown / Parliament / Statutes	St. Edward's Crown / Christ / The Bible
Governance Mode	Policy / Regulation / Licensing / "Acts"	Moral Law / "Do No Harm" / Natural Law
Property Status	Title (held by the Crown/State)	Ownership (held by God/Stewardship)
Administrative Tool	Registration / Applications / Contracts	Affidavits of Status / Declarations of Life

The Narrative of Victory vs. Administrative Reality

The perceived effectiveness of Capillary Wave ideologies often rests on high-profile planning cases where individuals have successfully resisted enforcement for significant periods. Edwards frequently cites his personal narrative of building a farm in Somerset without planning permission despite facing nine indictable

offenses. He claims that by understanding the "mechanisms of control" and challenging the council's jurisdiction through the application of Biblical maxims, he forced the authorities to abandon their prosecution. However, an examination of this claim reveals a recurring theme: the conflation of administrative pragmatism with legal victory. Local authorities often decline to prosecute individuals not because their jurisdictional arguments are valid, but because the cost of litigation against a highly litigious and non-compliant defendant exceeds the available resources or the perceived public benefit. This "administrative retreat" is then rebranded as a "defeat of the system," providing a false sense of security to others.

The case of Robert Fidler, whom Edwards claimed to assist, serves as a rebuttal to the efficacy of these strategies. Fidler built a "castle" behind a screen of hay bales and attempted to gain immunity from planning enforcement. While Edwards claims to have kept Fidler out of prison during an initial committal hearing, the High Court and the Court of Appeal consistently ruled against Fidler. The eventual demolition of his home and the loss of assets in similar cases highlight the catastrophic risks associated with these ideologies. Edwards often characterizes these failures as a failure of the individuals to "understand the paradigm" rather than a failure of the ideology itself. This "no true Scotsman" fallacy allows the movement to maintain internal consistency by blaming the victim's "ignorance" for the state's inevitable use of force.

Case Subject	Strategy Employed	Claimed Victory (by CME)	Actual Legal Outcome
Clive Edwards (Somerset)	Jurisdictional challenge; Biblical Law	Council decided against prosecution	Prosecution de-prioritized (Admin retreat)
Robert Fidler (Castle)	Concealment; Common Law arguments	Kept him out of prison initially	Castle demolished; Suspended sentence
Edie Cornick	Standing under Christ; Refusal of lawyer	Charges dismissed/dropped	Case dismissed due to lack of complaint

The Doctrinal Failure: The Oversight of Agency and Capacity

The most profound deficiency in the Capillary Wave model is its failure to address the Law of Agency. In the modern administrative state, jurisdiction is de facto—it is based on physical presence and interaction with public infrastructure. One cannot use the roads, banking systems, and property titles of a state while

simultaneously claiming to be outside its jurisdiction. The state's authority is not a "contract" that can be voided by a "Notice of Understanding and Intent"; it is a mandatory framework for social existence.

English law distinguishes between "natural persons" (human beings) and "artificial persons" (statutory constructs). A key doctrinal reality is that an artificial person has no mind or body and must therefore act through human agents. The "Agency Trap" occurs when a living human unknowingly acts as the agent and surety for all liabilities of the corporate fiction created at birth. Edwards teaches followers to reject the "person," yet by continuing to use the name, bank accounts, and licenses associated with that person, the individual is legally "intermeddling" with the estate. In probate law, anyone who intermeddles with an estate without lawful authority becomes an *executor de son tort*—an "executor of his own wrong"—who assumes full personal liability for the estate's debts.

Category	**Capillary Wave (Edwards)**	**Doctrinal Reality (Agency Law)**
Capacity	A "magic word" to stop the court	A formal correction from agent to Executor
Agency	A trap to be ignored via private trust	A fact-specific relationship requiring rebuttal
Statutes	Can be overridden by "God's Law"	Apply to the estate; must be administered
Signatures	45-degree angles/dots avoid liability	Signature p.p. signals limited authority

By encouraging a "moral renunciation" without a structural correction of capacity, Edwards leaves his followers in the position of intermeddlers who have no legal defence against the state's enforcement of liabilities. The courts predictably dismiss these arguments as "frivolous and vexatious," noting that a human being cannot opt out of statutory obligations by simply declaring themselves "not a person".

The Dangers of the Capillary Wave Methodology

The dissemination of Capillary Wave ideologies poses significant psychological and social risks. Edwards refers to the "Atheist Delusion," labelling government as a system of "mind control" (Govern-men-t) designed for "unruly children". This rhetoric fosters profound alienation. Adherents are told the system is "fictional," yet they face the very real consequences of bailiffs and police raids. When these realities clash, the movement provides a scapegoat: the "corruption" of the state. This creates a self-perpetuating loop where failures are never attributed to the ideology.

Furthermore, the "Common Law Court" structures established by some adherent's issue "orders" that mislead individuals into believing they have a valid defence. When these are ignored by official bodies, adherents become increasingly radicalized, viewing the state as an inherently "criminal" entity. The Capillary Wave is characterized as a "philosophical" protest that ignores the "procedural" requirements of law, leading followers into a logical dead-end that judges are easily able to dismantle in court.

The High Cost of Pseudo-Legal Defiance: A Warning on Irreparable Damages

Adherence to the Capillary Wave's "Biblical Law" and jurisdictional challenges carries severe and often irreversible real-world consequences. While Clive Edwards may be perceived as well-intentioned by his followers, the application of his theories in the courts of the United Kingdom frequently results in catastrophic failures for the individuals involved.

Catastrophic Impacts across Core Legal Domains

The damages suffered by users of these methods are most evident in four primary areas:

- **Mortgage Foreclosure and Property Loss:** The assertion that mortgages are "invalid" or "spiritual fictions" has led to the total loss of homes. Cases coordinated under similar theories have been dismissed by the High Court as "totally without merit," leaving homeowners with no defence against eviction and liable for massive cost orders.
- **Planning Enforcement and Demolition:** Reliance on "common law" and jurisdictional challenges in planning battles has led to the demolition of properties, as seen in the case of Robert Fidler's "castle". Followers have lost entire farms and faced imprisonment for non-compliance, as councils prioritize enforcement once the "pseudo-legal" toolkit is deployed.
- **Council Tax and Asset Seizure:** Challenges to Council Tax based on the "Strawman" or "citizen vs. people" distinction do not stop enforcement. Adherents face aggressive bailiff action, vehicle clamping, and the seizure of personal property to settle arrears that are legally recognized as valid statutory obligations.
- **Bankruptcy and Personal Insolvency:** Refusing to engage with the system as a "person" often triggers court-ordered administration. By treating debts as fictions and refusing to recognize judicial authority, individuals are frequently pushed into involuntary bankruptcy, losing all assets to the Crown.

Stark Warning to Users of Capillary Wave Materials

It is imperative that users of Capillary Wave and related materials be warned: the courts of the United Kingdom do not recognize these arguments. Judges consistently dismiss "Notice of Understanding and Intent" documents as "frivolous and vexatious". Continued use of these methods often results in **contempt of court**, leading to imprisonment for individuals who mistakenly believe they are immune to the system.

The "reawakening" promised by Edwards offers a false sense of security that frequently culminates in the irreparable loss of home, livelihood, and liberty.

Recent Misleading Presentations and Formal Notice to Clive Edwards

Ecclesia Law, acting on behalf of its client, Iain Clifford of the Equaliser Project, has conducted an exhaustive review of recent presentations made by Clive Edwards, including the "Ascending Tribe" and "WTF Festival" talks given in 2025. These presentations are identified as being grossly misleading to the audiences involved. Edwards continues to present the "Somerset Farm" narrative as a legal victory, whereas it is factually an administrative de-prioritization that carries no weight as a judicial precedent.

The research disseminated via the Capillary Wave platform is identified as misguided and is actively causing irreparable harm to individuals who rely upon it for legal defence. Edwards ignores the functional reality of de facto jurisdiction and the absolute necessity of capacity correction through the Law of Agency. His methods leave followers as intermeddlers with no standing, ensuring their eventual financial and legal ruin.

Ecclesia Law hereby issues a formal demand: Unless Clive Edwards withdraws his misleading videos from the internet, removes the Capillary Wave website, and issues a public statement acknowledging that his research is misguided, the Equaliser Project will focus its full attention on the Capillary Wave platform. This will include the release of a video interview and widespread public warnings to prevent further damage to the community.

Functional Alternatives: The Envoy and Asset Fortress Protocols

In contrast to the Capillary Wave's focus on moral sovereignty, the Republic of Old Souls (ROS) and its "Great Escape" protocols provide a structured legal and financial framework for exiting Crown jurisdiction through ecclesiastical trust law and administrative remedies. While Edwards successfully reawakens awareness of individual sovereignty, he does not break the infrastructure of control; banking, taxation, and registry systems remain intact under his model.

The Envoy Protocol and Capacity Correction

The Envoy Protocol is a capacity-based framework designed to correct jurisdictional standing by aligning legal capacity with function. It provides a mechanism for a living individual to transition from a presumed surety to a recognized "General Executor" of the birth-registered decedent estate. This transition utilizes the international law principle of *Clausula Rebus Sic Stantibus* ("things thus standing"), arguing that the fundamental circumstances of the birth registration (which occurred during infancy without consent) have changed.

By assuming the Office of General Executor, the individual corrects their standing from "subject" to "director" of the estate. This position allows the individual to interface with the state from a position of "ecclesiastical or international exteriority," reducing exposure to domestic statutory processes. The final layer of status correction is the declaration of Envoy status within an international framework, escalating the individual's standing away from domestic administrative presumptions.

Outcome Dimension	Capillary Wave (Clive Edwards)	ROS (Great Escape Protocols)
Jurisdiction	Biblical Law	Ecclesiastical & International Trust
Remedy Type	Spiritual & Moral	Legal & Administrative
Property Status	Divine stewardship	Trust-held ownership
Debt Handling	Rejected as fiction	Recouped and discharged
Taxation	Unlawful (rejection)	Exempt via trust law
Identity	People under God	Trust envoy / beneficiary
Practical Outcome	Moral sovereignty	Operational sovereignty

The Asset Fortress Protocol and Financial Recoupment

While the Envoy Protocol corrects personal capacity, the Asset Fortress Protocol (AFP) provides a structural solution for conducting commerce and protecting assets. It operates on the "Fallacy of Ownership," asserting that since the birth-created construct is owned by the state, it cannot safely hold assets. Instead, legal title is placed within a fiduciary trust or private entity moving the asset into the realm of private international law.

The financial engine of this strategy involves the Currency Creation Protocol and IRS 1099-OID recoupment. Proponents argue that since the bankruptcy of 1933 (formalized by House Joint Resolution 192), the global commercial system has operated on "money of account" rather than "money of substance". In this state of perpetual bankruptcy, the living man’s signature provides the "credit energy" that powers transactions. By

establishing the status of "Holder in Due Course" (HDC) and filing corrective Form 1099-OID documents, an individual can identify the "abandoned credit" from mortgages, loans, and taxes and request its redirection from the Treasury back to their private estate.

The Art of Legal Warfare: Strategic Redress and Redoubt

To win a rigged game, one must establish a position of invincibility before the first move is made. The "Art of Legal Warfare" applies Sun Tzu's principles to the commercial "Monopoly board," focusing on structural invincibility rather than belligerent confrontation. The core principle is "Win First, Then Go to War," achieved by establishing a position where the system's weapons—debt, tax, and liability—have no target to strike.

This strategy avoids head-on fights and instead strikes at the state's "Achilles' Heel"—fatal structural flaws in procedural or jurisdictional history. This is achieved by auditing the "chain of title" or procedural compliance to identify where the state or its agents failed to follow their own rules. Tactical applications include challenging the lack of prosecutorial authority, identifying voids in service (such as missing metadata in electronic communications), and leveraging regulatory strikes against opposing entities.

The Three Pillars of Defence

In the context of legal warfare, the "Three Pillars" represent the forensic application used to dismantle the state's standing in court:

1. **Lack of Prosecutorial Authority:** Identifying that the prosecutor lacks proof of delegated authority under relevant statutes (e.g., Section 401 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 in the UK).
2. **Absence of Regulated Service Evidence:** Demonstrating that the services provided (such as administrative support via a PMA) were not regulated activities, rendering the regulator's intervention a nullity.
3. **Failure of Service and Metadata:** Challenging the validity of orders based on the failure to prove service to a vector owned by the appellant.

Synthesis: From Moral Repudiation to Operational Sovereignty

The ideologies promulgated by Clive Edwards and the Capillary Wave represent a sophisticated but fundamentally flawed attempt to reclaim individual autonomy. By providing a narrative that explains bureaucracy as "fraud" and secularism as "mind control," the movement offers adherents a sense of spiritual superiority. However, this "reawakening" comes at a severe price. The ideology's failure to recognize the de facto nature of modern governance and the mechanics of agency ensures that its followers will continue to face adverse real-world consequences. Edwards provides "moral sovereignty" but fails to provide "operational sovereignty"—he offers the "ammunition" for protest but no "boat" to survive the "legal tsunami".

The functional alternative found in the Envoy Protocol, Asset Fortress Protocol, and the Art of Legal Warfare acknowledges that the "debt stays on the board" with the fictional person. To win, one must stop playing as the pawn and start operating as the "Banker" or "General Executor". This transition involves moving from a default path of personal liability to a corrected path of fiduciary immunity using the system's own rules—the Salomon principle, the Bills of Exchange Act, and IRS regulations—to achieve a peaceful exit.

In an environment characterized by the technocratic enclosure of UN Agenda 2030, which seeks total digitization of human governance through social credit and 15-minute cities, the need for structural detachment becomes acute. The egress from this technocratic grid requires more than moral declarations; it requires a systemic architecture designed to dismantle administrative enclosures. By severing presumed agency via *Clausula Rebus Sic Stantibus*, occupying the Office of General Executor, and relocating commercial activity within the Asset Fortress Protocol, individuals move from "Surety" to "Creditor". The living man and woman, having corrected their capacity and secured their assets, are finally free to live—in the same world, but on a different landscape. The Capillary Wave may offer ripples of awareness, but the Envoy Protocol provides the structure to navigate the deep waters of the modern administrative state.